

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED
SEP 24 2012
RICHARD W. WICKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION (NO. VI)

MDL No. 875

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL REMAND ORDER

The transferee court in this litigation has, in the actions on this conditional remand order: (1) severed all claims for punitive or exemplary damages; and (2) advised the Panel that coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with respect to the remaining claims have been completed and that remand to the transferor court(s), as provided in 28 U.S.C. §1407(a), is appropriate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that all claims in the action(s) on this conditional remand order except the severed damages claims be remanded to its/their respective transferor court(s).

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 10.2 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the transmittal of this order to the transferee clerk for filing shall be stayed 7 days from the date of this order. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel. This order does not become effective until it is filed in the office of the Clerk for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 10.4(a), the parties shall furnish the Clerk for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania with a stipulation or designation of the contents of the record to be remanded and all necessary copies of any pleadings or other matter filed so as to enable said Clerk to comply with the order of remand.

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

Sep 19, 2012

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED TO FROM THE RECORD

DATED:

9-19-12

TEST:


DEPUTY CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION (NO. VI)**

MDL No. 875

SCHEDULE FOR CRO

TRANSFeree			TRANSFEROR			CASE CAPTION
DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	
PAE	2	09-92345	CAN	3	09-04676	STANTON v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY et al
PAE	2	09-92269	CAN	3	09-04756	O'KEEFE et al v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
PAE	2	09-92270	CAN	3	09-04782	JANIK et al v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY et al
PAE	2	09-92271	CAN	3	09-04803	HAMLETT v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
PAE	2	09-92274	CAN	3	09-04881	GEESA v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY et al
PAE	2	10-78938	CAN	4	10-01729	OLSCHEWSKE et al v. ASBESTOS DEFENDANTS
PAE	2	10-78941	CAN	4	10-02927	PETRYK v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
PAE	2	11-63468	CAN	4	11-00270	PARKER et al v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

* – denotes that the civil action has been severed.